# POTENCY OF TWO STATISTICAL APPROACHES IN DETECTION OF DIFFERENTIAL ITEM FUNCTIONING OF STANDARDIZED ECONOMICS TEST IN NIGERIA

<sup>1</sup>AMAECHI, C. E., <sup>2</sup>ONAH, F. E. & <sup>3</sup>NOSIKE, M. C.

<sup>1</sup>Federal College of Education, Eha-amufu, Enugu State chideraemma19@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Life Science Education (Measurement and Evaluation), Faculty Of Education, Imo State University, Owerri fredonah2@yahoo.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physical Science Education (Measurement and Evaluation), Faculty of Education, Imo State University, Owerri.

#### **Abstract**

The study ascertained the potency of two statistical approaches (Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square) in detection of differential item functioning of standardized Economics test in Nigeria. Two research questions were asked and two hypotheses were formulated to guide the study. The design of this study is an inferential survey. The population of the study was 4,434,979 secondary school students and the sample comprised of seven hundred and ninety eight (798) students. The sample comprised of seven hundred and ninety eight (798) students involving purposive, stratified and simple random sampling techniques. The instrument for data collection is a 50 item WAEC General Economics Paper I Multiple Choice Test. Two statistics were used to test the hypotheses, binary logistic regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square statistics at 0.05 level of significance. Result of the analysis revealed among others that; Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square statistics have equal potency in the detection of gender DIF with 13 items; but 8 items were consistent with the two statistics as having gender DIF while 5 items were not consistent. It was concluded that Binary Logistic Regression Statistics has a higher potency in detecting location DIF with 9 items than Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics that detected 8 items; but 6 items were consistent with the two statistics as having location DIF while the other items were not consistent. Based on the findings, the researcher recommended that; when detecting location DIF, the use of Binary Logistic Regression Statistics should be encouraged since it detected more DIF in this regard.

**Keywords:** Economics, DIF, Bias, Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square statistics

#### Introduction

Economics is one of the subjects offered in senior secondary schools in Nigeria. It is one of the social science subjects that heavily utilize statistical and mathematical models to analyze real-life problems. It is a social science that studies human behaviour in an effort to allocate scarce resources efficiently and effectively in order to minimize cost. It is a subject concerned with the efficient utilization or management of limited or scarce resources for maximum satisfaction of human needs (Amaechi, 2018; Onah, Amaechi & Nosike, 2017). As such, to achieve this at the Senior Secondary School level, the assessment of students' academic achievement in Economics demands attention, thus, it ought to be as fair as possible with minimal error.

Differential Item Functioning (DIF) as defined by Angoff cited in Moyo and Nenty (2017) referred to the differences in the statistical properties of an item between groups (Male/Female examines) of equal ability. It is intended to be invariant with respect to irrelevant aspects of the test-takers, such as gender, location, school type, school ownership, ethnicity and socio-economic status. It is also expected to be altered by interventions targeted at those items. For instance, the use of calculators in arithmetic tests or the use of assistive device on mobility tests. As such, differential item functioning (DIF) occurs when examinees from different groups (Male/Female examinees) show differing probabilities of success on the item after being matched on the underlying ability that the item is intended to measure (Walker, 2011). Lending support to this, Ajeigbe & Afolabi (2014) contended that Differential item functioning (DIF) referred to a difference in the way a test item functions for comparable groups of test takers. Formally defined, an item displays DIF if subjects of equal proficiency, or equal ability level on the construct intended to be measured by a test but from separate subgroups of the population differ in their expected score on this item. It is noteworthy that when assessing an item for DIF, the groups must be matched on the measured attribute; otherwise this may result in inaccurate detection of DIF (Amaechi, 2019).

Furthermore, DIF examine difference in the conditional probability of the responses between two groups; therefore, the groups can be designated "reference" and "focal" groups (Amajuoyi, 2015). Camili (2006) contended that while the designation does not matter, a typical practice in the literature is to designate the reference group as the group who are suspected to have an advantage while the focal group referred to the group anticipated to be disadvantaged by the test. The absence of DIF is determined by the fact that the conditional probability distribution of the response is not dependent on group membership. This is applicable to dichotomous scored tests. Dichotomous scores are scores from a test which has two categories of outcome which may be pass and fail, yes and no and true and false, usually coded as 1 and 0 respectively (Amaechi, 2019).

For a dichotomously scored test, the probability of correctly responding to an item is the same for members of either group once matched on the same attribute. This indicates that there is no DIF because members of the reference and focal groups with the same underlying ability or attribute have the same probability of responding correctly (Amaechi, 2019). Therefore, there is no disadvantage for one group over the other. Put differently, when the focal and reference groups are at the same location on theta  $(\theta)$  and there is a different probability of getting a correct response or endorsing an item. The group with higher conditional probability of correctly responding to an item is the group advantaged by the test item. This suggests that the test item displays DIF and functions differently for the groups and therefore, may be potentially biased (Walker, 2011; Osterlind & Everson, 2009).

Failure to understand and account for differences on test scores may lead to misinterpretation and consequently, actions and decisions that are invalid. DIF explicitly involves conditioning on  $\theta$ . This is an indication that an examinee's score is conditional on grouping such that having information about group membership changes the probability of a correct response. Therefore, if the group differs on  $\theta$  and performance depends on  $\theta$ , item bias would be suggested even on absence of DIF (Walker, 2011). For this reason, it is generally agreed in literature that differences on response conditional on group membership alone is inadequate for establishing bias but performance dependent on the  $\theta$  is best used for item bias decision (Amajuoyi, 2015). This suggests that DIF investigates the items in a test, one at a time, for signs of interactions with sample characteristics.

There are several methods to detect if items have DIF effects. Some of the methods include but not limited to: chi-squares techniques: Mantel-Haenszel (M-H)/Generalized Mantel-Haenszel (GMH) methods, Scheuneman Modified Chi-square (SSχ²), Cochran's Chisquare Test Method (CT $\chi^2$ ) and Lord's Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) Test. Others are Standard Mean Difference (SMD) techniques, Standardization, Simultaneous Item Bias Test, Transformation Item Difficulty, Distractor Analysis Method, Expert Judges, Item Discrimination Index, Logistic Regression, Factor Analysis, Rank Order, Item Characteristic Curve (ICC), Item Response Theory-Likelihood Ratio, Comparison Method, Log Linear Model, Transformed Item Difficulty, Parameter Index, Analysis of Variance Methods and Methods of Comparing Plots of Transformed Item Difficulties, Correlations and Methods Based on Experimental Manipulations, Reference and Focal Groups Method. For this study, Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square were used. Binary Logistic Regression, detects both uniform and non uniform items that function differentially while Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square detects only uniform DIF items. In the context of Nigerian measurement, researchers seem to use the above statistical approaches, hence, the use of it in this study.

These groups are stratified into matching ability levels and their relative performance on each item is quantified. The ability levels are usually determined by the total scores on the test. In this way, the DIF analysis for one item is as independent as possible from the DIF analysis of the other items. The presence of DIF may have serious consequences for the interpretation of test scores for both groups and individuals. According to Zumbo (2012), test items are considered biased because they contain sources of difficulty that are not relevant to the construct being measured and these extraneous sources affect test-takers' performance. Those items will bring about differential functions across students' with gender and location differences.

Gender is a set of characteristics distinguishing between males and females, particularly, in the case of man and woman which, depending on the context, may vary from sex to social role and to gender identity (Bland, 2013). Nevertheless, Chang (2013) reported that although there is a decrease in the gap in gender difference in students' performance in subjects, female representation in subjects involving calculation like sciences is still low in comparison with their male counterparts.

In a similar occasion, the school location (urban/rural) which a child finds himself/herself, goes a long way to determine one's academic achievement in life. Location of schools could also be a factor that affects academic performance of students in schools generally. Kpolovie, Joe & Okoto (2014) stated that school's location means urban and rural schools. Location is a particular place in relation to other areas. Osuafor & Okonkwo (2013)

indicated that schools in urban areas have electricity, water supply, more teachers more learning facilities and infrastructure. To support this Juweto (2015) stated that urban areas are those with high population density, high variety and beauty while rural areas are those with low population, subsistence mode of life, monotonous and burden. Students attending rural schools face challenges of higher poverty than those attending urban schools. However, Osuafor and Okonkwo (2013) found that urban students performed better than rural students in all forms of achievement test used. They further reported that students in urban and rural location performed in a similar manner.

Researchers such as Pedrajita (2015) and Salubayba (2013) revealed that Binary logistic regression can help to detect both uniform and non-uniform DIF while Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics can only be used to detect uniform DIF. Pedrajita (2015) worked on the use of contingency table approaches in differential item functioning analysis: a comparison. The results of the approaches were compared. The findings revealed the presence of items indicating gender-based DIF, and thus concluded that there was a high degree of correspondence between the Logistic Regression and the Mantel-Haenszel Statistic in identifying potentially biased test items. Similarly, Salubayba (2013) revealed that Mantel-Haenszel (MH) detected fewer DIF items that resulted to more DIF-free items, while IRT-1PL detected more DIF items that produced brief test instrument. Ibrahim (2017) results showed that a non-significant relationship existed between the proportions of test items that functioned differentially in the dichotomous test items when the different methods were used. The study concluded that the statistical approaches were effective in detecting DIF across dichotomous test items but complement one other in their ability to detect DIF in dichotomous test items. Looking at past studies, to the best of the researcher's knowledge, no study was particular on the presents study. The problem of this study posed as a question: what is the potency of two statistical approaches (Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square) in detection of differential item functioning of standardized Economics test in Nigeria? The answers to these questions are what the present study provided.

The general purpose of this study was to ascertain the potency of two statistical approaches (Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square) in detection of differential item functioning of standardized Economics test in Nigeria. Specifically, the study ascertained:

- 1. The DIF statistics (Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square) that detected more items in WAEC with respect to gender, and
- 2. The DIF statistics (Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square) that detected more items in WAEC with respect to school location.

## **Research Questions**

The following research questions were posed and they guided the study:

- a). Which of the DIF statistics (Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square)detected more items in WAEC Economics test with respect to gender?
- b). Which of the DIF statistics (Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square) detected more items in WAEC Economics test with respect to school location?

## **Research Hypotheses**

The following null hypotheses were formulated and tested at 0.05 level of significance:

**Ho**<sub>1</sub>: The number of items that were detected with gender DIF using Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square are not significant.

**Ho<sub>2</sub>:** The number of items that were detected with school location DIF using Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square are not significant.

# Methodology

The design of this study is a survey which involved the inferential method. The population of the study was 4,434,979 secondary school students in the *11,875* public secondary schools in the 36 states including Abuja the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) of Nigeria. The sample comprised of seven hundred and ninety eight (798) students involving purposive, stratified and simple random sampling techniques. Purposively, the researcher chose those students matured for WAEC, while stratified random sampling was used to draw the sample with consideration to the bio-data of the students. The instrument for data collection is 50 item WAEC General Economics Paper I Multiple Choice Test 2015. Two statistics were used to test the hypotheses, binary logistic regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square statistics at 0.05 level of significance. Any item whose Wald test/Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) significance level is below 0.05, was termed as significantly DIF item, but any item with Wald test/Chi-Square ( $\chi^2$ ) significance level above 0.05, was termed non- significant DIF item.

## **Results**

In this section, the researchers presented data analysis and results according to the earlier stated hypotheses.

**Hypothesis One:** The number of items that were detected with gender DIF using Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square are not significant.

 Table 1a: Gender DIF Using Binary Logistic Regression Statistics

|            |                         |              |                | oles in tl | he Equatio           |                           |       |           |
|------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------|-----------|
| Items      | В                       | S.E.         | Wald           | df         | Sig.                 | Exp(B)                    |       | or EXP(B) |
|            |                         |              |                |            |                      |                           | Lower | Upper     |
| 1          | 027                     | .200         | .018           | 1          | .892                 | .973                      | .658  | 1.439     |
| 2          | 158                     | .200         | .621           | 1          | .431                 | .854                      | .577  | 1.264     |
| 3          | 199                     | .193         | 1.056          | 1          | .304                 | .820                      | .561  | 1.198     |
| 1          | .107                    | .205         | .274           | 1          | .600                 | 1.113                     | .745  | 1.662     |
| 5          | .080                    | .206         | .149           | 1          | .699                 | 1.083                     | .723  | 1.621     |
| 3          | 106                     | .195         | .294           | 1          | .588                 | .900                      | .614  | 1.319     |
| 7          | .002                    | .202         | .000           | 1          | .993                 | 1.002                     | .674  | 1.489     |
| 3          | .024                    | .200         | .014           | 1          | .904                 | 1.024                     | .692  | 1.517     |
| 9          | .511                    | .215         | 5.625          | 1          | .018                 | 1.667                     | 1.093 | 2.542     |
| 10         | 129                     | .200         | .418           | 1          | .518                 | .879                      | .594  | 1.300     |
| 11         | .322                    | .199         | 2.618          | 1          | .106                 | 1.381                     | .934  | 2.040     |
| 12         | 153                     | .203         | .570           | 1          | .450                 | .858                      | .576  | 1.277     |
| 13         | -1.596                  | .196         | 66.391         | 1          | .000                 | .203                      | .138  | .298      |
| 14         | .336                    | .200         | 2.835          | i          | .092                 | 1.400                     | .946  | 2.070     |
| 15         | -1.294                  | .192         | 45.193         | i          | .000                 | .274                      | .188  | .400      |
| 16         | 113                     | .206         | .300           | i          | .584                 | .893                      | .597  | 1.337     |
| 17         | .002                    | .196         | .000           | i          | .993                 | 1.002                     | .682  | 1.471     |
| 18         | .302                    | .194         | 2.437          | 1          | .118                 | 1.353                     | .926  | 1.978     |
| 19         | 093                     | .194         | .225           | 1          | .635                 | .911                      | .621  | 1.337     |
| 2 <b>0</b> | 093<br>898              |              | 24.385         | 1          |                      | .407                      |       |           |
|            |                         | .182         |                |            | .000                 |                           | .285  | .582      |
| 21         | 058                     | .198         | .087           | 1          | .768                 | .943                      | .640  | 1.391     |
| 22         | .419                    | .176         | 5.653          | 1          | .017                 | 1.521                     | 1.076 | 2.148     |
| 23         | .170                    | .206         | .683           | 1          | .409                 | 1.185                     | .792  | 1.774     |
| 24         | 1.473                   | .185         | 63.622         | 1          | .000                 | 4.362                     | 3.037 | 6.265     |
| 25         | 067                     | .193         | .120           | 1          | .729                 | .935                      | .640  | 1.366     |
| 26         | .082                    | .208         | .154           | 1          | .695                 | 1.085                     | .722  | 1.632     |
| 27         | .064                    | .179         | .127           | 1          | .722                 | 1.066                     | .751  | 1.513     |
| 28         | .151                    | .195         | .594           | 1          | .441                 | 1.162                     | .793  | 1.705     |
| 29         | 032                     | .198         | .027           | 1          | .871                 | .968                      | .657  | 1.427     |
| 30         | .476                    | .214         | 4.972          | 1          | .026                 | 1.610                     | 1.059 | 2.447     |
| 31         | 166                     | .206         | .651           | 1          | .420                 | .847                      | .566  | 1.268     |
| 32         | .012                    | .203         | .003           | 1          | .953                 | 1.012                     | .680  | 1.506     |
| 33         | .030                    | .198         | .023           | 1          | .879                 | 1.031                     | .699  | 1.519     |
| 34         | .380                    | .216         | 3.092          | 1          | .079                 | 1.462                     | .957  | 2.233     |
| 35         | 218                     | .198         | 1.209          | 1          | .272                 | .804                      | .546  | 1.186     |
| 36         | 087                     | .195         | .198           | 1          | .656                 | .917                      | .626  | 1.343     |
| 37         | .639                    | .208         | 9.449          | 1          | .002                 | 1.894                     | 1.261 | 2.847     |
| 38         | .205                    | .218         | .887           | 1          | .346                 | 1.228                     | .801  | 1.882     |
| 39         | .596                    | .206         | 8.364          | 1          | .004                 | 1.816                     | 1.212 | 2.720     |
| 40         | 128                     | .200         | .409           | 1          | .522                 | .880                      | .595  | 1.302     |
| 41         | .208                    | .213         | .954           | i          | .329                 | 1.231                     | .811  | 1.867     |
| 42         | 764                     | .175         | 19.149         | i          | .000                 | .466                      | 331   | .656      |
| 43         | 152                     | .193         | .622           | i          | .430                 | .859                      | .589  | 1.253     |
| 44         | .554                    | .171         | 10.493         | i          | .001                 | 1.740                     | 1.245 | 2.433     |
| 45         | .33 <del>4</del><br>174 | .171         | .790           | 1          | .374                 | .840                      | .572  | 1.233     |
| 46         | 174<br>-1.038           | .177         | .790<br>34.558 | 1          | .000                 | .354                      | .250  | .500      |
| 47         | -1.036<br>.745          | .208         | 12.856         | 1          | .000                 | .33 <del>4</del><br>2.106 | 1.402 | 3.164     |
| 47<br>18   |                         | .208<br>.201 | .379           | 1          | . <b>000</b><br>.538 | 2.106<br>.884             | .596  | 1.310     |
|            | 124<br>172              |              |                |            |                      |                           |       |           |
| 49<br>-0   | .173                    | .196         | .773           | 1          | .379                 | 1.188                     | .809  | 1.746     |
| 50         | .214                    | .196         | 1.193          | 11         | .275                 | 1.239                     | .843  | 1.820     |

In Table 1(a), the number of WAEC items in Economics that function differentially with respect to gender were detected using Binary Logistic Regression Statistics. With Wald test significance level below 0.05, the result indicated that, 13 items that is, item numbers 9, 13, 15, 20, 22, 24, 30, 37, 39, 42, 44, 46 and 47 in the Economics test were identified as significantly exhibiting differential item functioning between male and female students at .05 level of significance while the remaining 37 items did not significantly exhibit differential item functioning between male and female students. This reveals that Binary Logistic Regression Statistics detected and flagged 13 items as having DIF with respect to gender. Having done this, researcher proceeded to test the hypothesis using Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics and the output is illustrated in table 1b.

Table 1b: Gender DIF Using Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics

|      | Tests of Conditional Independence |        |                       |  |  |  |
|------|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| tems | Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared       | df     | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) |  |  |  |
| 1    | .025                              | 1      | .876                  |  |  |  |
| 2    | 1.114                             | 1      | .291                  |  |  |  |
| 3    | .046                              | 1      | .829                  |  |  |  |
| 1    | .020                              | 1      | .889                  |  |  |  |
| 5    | 1.275                             | 1      | .259                  |  |  |  |
| 3    | .996                              | 1      | .318                  |  |  |  |
| ,    | .648                              | 1      | .421                  |  |  |  |
| 1    | .777                              | i<br>1 | .378                  |  |  |  |
|      | .009                              | i      | .924                  |  |  |  |
| 0    | 1.490                             | 1      | .222                  |  |  |  |
| 1    | .046                              | 1      | .831                  |  |  |  |
| 2    | .954                              | 1      | .329                  |  |  |  |
| 3    | .93 <del>4</del><br>91.421        | 1      | .000                  |  |  |  |
| 4    | .794                              | 1      | .373                  |  |  |  |
|      |                                   |        |                       |  |  |  |
| 5    | 72.677                            | 1      | .000                  |  |  |  |
| 6    | 4.150                             | 1      | .042                  |  |  |  |
| 7    | .150                              | 1      | .698                  |  |  |  |
| 8    | 3.938                             | 1      | .047                  |  |  |  |
| 9    | .465                              | 1      | .495                  |  |  |  |
| .0   | 31.418                            | 1      | .000                  |  |  |  |
| 1    | .980                              | 1      | .322                  |  |  |  |
| 2    | .169                              | 1      | .681                  |  |  |  |
| :3   | .170                              | 1      | .680                  |  |  |  |
| 4    | 53.157                            | 1      | .000                  |  |  |  |
| 5    | .009                              | 1      | .923                  |  |  |  |
| 6    | .044                              | 1      | .834                  |  |  |  |
| 7    | 6.361                             | 1      | .012                  |  |  |  |
| 8    | .006                              | 1      | .940                  |  |  |  |
| :9   | .057                              | 1      | .812                  |  |  |  |
| 0    | .000                              | 1      | .992                  |  |  |  |
| 1    | 2.445                             | i      | .118                  |  |  |  |
| 2    | .000                              | 1      | .983                  |  |  |  |
| 3    | .000<br>18.353                    | 1      | .900                  |  |  |  |
| 34   | .810                              | 1      | .368                  |  |  |  |
|      |                                   | 1      | .000                  |  |  |  |
| 5    | 44.955                            | 1      |                       |  |  |  |
| 6    | .897                              |        | .344                  |  |  |  |
| 7    | 2.084                             | 1      | .149                  |  |  |  |
| 8    | 2.582                             | 1      | .108                  |  |  |  |
| 9    | 2.003                             | 1      | .157                  |  |  |  |
| 0    | .945                              | 1      | .331                  |  |  |  |
| 1    | 1.201                             | 1      | .273                  |  |  |  |
| 2    | 32.226                            | 1      | .000                  |  |  |  |
| 3    | .533                              | 1      | .466                  |  |  |  |
| 4    | 10.026                            | 1      | .002                  |  |  |  |
| 5    | .169                              | 1      | .681                  |  |  |  |
| 6    | 32.191                            | 1      | .000                  |  |  |  |
| 7    | 5.864                             | 1      | .015                  |  |  |  |
| 8    | .046                              | 1      | .829                  |  |  |  |
| 9    | .493                              | i      | .483                  |  |  |  |
| 0    | .001                              | 1      | .976                  |  |  |  |

In Table 1(b), the number of WAEC items in Economics that function differentially with respect to gender were detected using Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics. With Chi-Square Test of Independence significance level below 0.05, the result also indicated that, 13 items that is, item numbers 13, 15, 16, 18, 20, 24, 27, 33, 35, 42, 44, 46 and 47 in the Economics test were identified as significantly exhibiting differential item functioning between male and female students at .05 level of significance while the remaining 37 items did not significantly exhibit differential item functioning between male and female students. This reveals that Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics detected and flagged 13 items as having DIF with respect to gender.

Comparing the two statistics for detecting DIF, it was observed that both statistics (Binary Logistic Regression Statistics & Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics) detected the equal number of items as having gender DIF, but the difference is that 8 items were consistent with the two statistics as having gender DIF while 5 items were not consistent. The consistent items are 13, 15, 20, 24, 42, 44, 46 and 47. Hence, both statistics contributed equally in the detection of DIF with respect to gender in 2015 WAEC test.

**Hypothesis Two:** The number of items that were detected with school location DIF using Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square are not significant.

Table 2a: Location DIF Using Binary Logistic Regression Statistics

|                  |             |      |        |        | ne Equation          |        |                    | =1/=/ |
|------------------|-------------|------|--------|--------|----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|
| Items            | В           | S.E. | Wald   | Df     | Sig.                 | Exp(B) | 95% C.I.for EXP(B) |       |
|                  | 000         | 004  | 007    |        | 000                  | 4.050  | Lower              | Upper |
| 1                | .230        | .234 | .967   | 1      | .326                 | 1.259  | .795               | 1.993 |
| 2                | .140        | .238 | .348   | 1      | .555                 | 1.151  | .722               | 1.834 |
| 3                | .407        | .236 | 2.973  | 1      | .085                 | 1.503  | .946               | 2.387 |
| 4                | 250         | .247 | 1.028  | 1      | .311                 | .778   | .480               | 1.263 |
| 5                | .273        | .239 | 1.312  | 1      | .252                 | 1.314  | .823               | 2.098 |
| 6                | 032         | .224 | .020   | 1      | .886                 | .968   | .624               | 1.503 |
| 7                | 057         | .229 | .063   | 1      | .802                 | .944   | .603               | 1.478 |
| 8                | 057         | .232 | .060   | 1      | .806                 | .945   | .600               | 1.488 |
| 9                | .093        | .252 | .135   | 1      | .713                 | 1.097  | .669               | 1.798 |
| 10               | 074         | .226 | .108   | 1      | .742                 | .928   | .596               | 1.446 |
| 11               | .113        | .234 | .234   | 1      | .628                 | 1.120  | .708               | 1.773 |
| 12               | .480        | .243 | 3.895  | 1      | .048                 | 1.616  | 1.003              | 2.604 |
| 13               | 235         | .213 | 1.212  | 1      | .271                 | .791   | .520               | 1.201 |
| 14               | .324        | .234 | 1.922  | 1      | .166                 | 1.383  | .875               | 2.186 |
| 15               | 761         | .209 | 13.259 | i<br>1 | .000                 | .467   | .310               | .704  |
| 16               | .181        | .237 | .584   | i<br>1 | .445                 | 1.199  | .753               | 1.908 |
| 17               | .058        | .230 | .064   | i      | .800                 | 1.060  | .675               | 1.664 |
| 18               | 197         | .217 | .827   | i      | .363                 | .821   | .537               | 1.256 |
| 19               | .226        | .234 | .931   | 1      | .335                 | 1.254  | .792               | 1.985 |
| 20               | .220<br>570 | .202 | 7.925  | 1      | .005                 | .566   | .380               | .841  |
| 2 <b>0</b><br>21 | 370<br>.224 | .202 | .885   | 1      | .347                 |        |                    | 1.996 |
|                  |             |      |        | 1      |                      | 1.251  | .784               |       |
| 22               | 421         | .204 | 4.268  |        | .039                 | .656   | .440               | .979  |
| 23               | 095         | .249 | .147   | 1      | .701                 | .909   | .558               | 1.480 |
| 24               | 967         | .210 | 21.308 | 1      | .000                 | .380   | .252               | .573  |
| 25               | .242        | .235 | 1.062  | 1      | .303                 | 1.274  | .804               | 2.020 |
| 26               | 170         | .250 | .463   | 1      | .496                 | .844   | .517               | 1.377 |
| 27               | .361        | .205 | 3.086  | 1      | .079                 | 1.435  | .959               | 2.146 |
| 28               | 092         | .230 | .160   | 1      | .690                 | .912   | .581               | 1.432 |
| 29               | 155         | .230 | .454   | 1      | .501                 | .856   | .545               | 1.345 |
| 30               | 120         | .250 | .231   | 1      | .631                 | .887   | .543               | 1.447 |
| 31               | 020         | .248 | .006   | 1      | .937                 | .981   | .603               | 1.593 |
| 32               | .133        | .241 | .306   | 1      | .580                 | 1.143  | .712               | 1.833 |
| 33               | 012         | .234 | .003   | 1      | .958                 | .988   | .624               | 1.563 |
| 34               | .061        | .253 | .058   | 1      | .810                 | 1.063  | .647               | 1.746 |
| 35               | .458        | .231 | 3.931  | 1      | .047                 | 1.581  | 1.005              | 2.487 |
| 36               | 016         | .229 | .005   | 1      | .943                 | .984   | .628               | 1.540 |
| 37               | .064        | .243 | .070   | 1      | .792                 | 1.066  | .662               | 1.718 |
| 38               | 057         | .257 | .050   | 1      | .824                 | .944   | .570               | 1.563 |
| 39               | .147        | .243 | .366   | i      | .545                 | 1.159  | .719               | 1.867 |
| 40               | .108        | .238 | .206   | i<br>1 | .650                 | 1.114  | .699               | 1.776 |
| 40<br>41         | .008        | .251 | .001   | 1      | .975                 | 1.008  | .616               | 1.650 |
| 42               | 1.171       | .216 | 29.349 | 1      | .000                 | 3.226  | 2.112              | 4.929 |
| <b>42</b><br>43  | 001         | .210 | .000   | 1      | .998                 | .999   | .641               | 1.558 |
| 43<br>44         |             |      |        | 1      | .996<br>. <b>001</b> |        |                    |       |
| 44<br>45         | 702         | .203 | 11.937 | 1      |                      | .496   | .333               | .738  |
|                  | .333        | .236 | 1.994  |        | .158                 | 1.395  | .879               | 2.215 |
| 46               | -1.108      | .218 | 25.777 | 1      | .000                 | .330   | .215               | .506  |
| 47               | 167         | .243 | .472   | 1      | .492                 | .846   | .525               | 1.363 |
| 48               | .392        | .242 | 2.627  | 1      | .105                 | 1.480  | .921               | 2.378 |
| 49               | .325        | .232 | 1.958  | 1      | .162                 | 1.384  | .878               | 2.183 |
| 50               | .036        | .233 | .025   | 1      | .876                 | 1.037  | .657               | 1.637 |

In Table 2(a), the number of WAEC items in Economics that function differentially with respect to location were detected using Binary Logistic Regression Statistics. With Wald test significance level below 0.05, the result indicated that, 9 items that is, item numbers 12, 15, 20, 22, 24, 35, 42 and 46 in the Economics test were identified as significantly exhibiting differential item functioning between students from urban and rural schools at .05 level of significance while the remaining 41 items did not significantly exhibit differential item

functioning between students from urban and rural schools. This reveals that Binary Logistic Regression Statistics detected and flagged 9 items as having DIF with respect to location.

 Table 2b:
 Location DIF Using Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics

| Items                       | Tests of Conditional Independence tems Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared Df Asymp. Sig. (2-sideo |   |                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1                           | .082   | 1 | .775                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2                           | .082   | 1 |                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2                           |  | 1 | .872                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3                           | 2.494  |   | .114                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4                           | 1.083  | 1 | .298                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5                           | .057   | 1 | .811                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6                           | .118   | 1 | .732                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7                           | 2.536  | 1 | .111                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8                           | .770   | 1 | .380                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9                           | 2.045  | 1 | .153                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10                          | .999   | 1 | .318                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11                          | .742   | 1 | .389                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12                          | .003   | 1 | .956                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13                          | 20.780   | 1 | .000                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14                          | .102   | 1 | .750                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15                          | 51.021   | i | .000                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16                          | .002   | 1 | .968                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17                          | .304   | 1 | .581                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18                          | .400   | 1 | .527                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19                          |  | 1 |                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             | .015   |   | .902                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20                          | 44.587   | 1 | .000                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21                          | .004   | 1 | .948                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22                          | .556   | 1 | .456                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23                          | .617   | 1 | .432                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24                          | 27.556   | 1 | .000                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25                          | .586   | 1 | .444                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26                          | 1.927  | 1 | .165                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27                          | 20.163   | 1 | .000                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28                          | .074   | 1 | .786                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29                          | .008   | 1 | .927                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30                          | 1.124  | 1 | .289                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31                          | .238   | 1 | .626                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32                          | 1.369  | i | .242                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33                          | .221   | 1 | .639                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34                          | 2.094  | 1 | .148                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 <del>4</del><br><b>35</b> | 2.094<br><b>5.197</b>  | 1 | .146<br>. <b>023</b> |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             |  |   |                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36                          | .001   | 1 | .974                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37                          | 1.587  | 1 | .208                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38                          | 1.610  | 1 | .204                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39                          | .135   | 1 | .713                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40                          | .742   | 1 | .389                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41                          | 1.209  | 1 | .272                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42                          | 4.033  | 1 | .045                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43                          | .004   | 1 | .953                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44                          | 2.067  | 1 | .151                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45                          | .548   | 1 | .459                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46                          | 27.207   | 1 | .000                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47                          | 1.104  | 1 | .293                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48                          | .001   | 1 | .970                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49                          | .439   | 1 | .508                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| <del>4</del> 9<br>50        | .156   | 1 | .693                 |  |  |  |  |  |

In Table 2(b), the number of WAEC items in Economics that function differentially with respect to location were detected using Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics. With Chi-Square Test of Independence significance level below 0.05, the result indicated that, 8 items that is, item numbers 13, 15, 20, 24, 27, 35, 42 and 46 in the Economics test were identified as significantly exhibiting differential item functioning between students from urban and rural schools at .05 level of significance while the remaining 42 items did not significantly exhibit differential item functioning between male and female students. This reveals that Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics detected and flagged 8 items as having DIF with respect to location.

Comparing the two statistics for detecting DIF, it was observed that Binary Logistic Regression Statistics detected 9 items while Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics detected 8 items as having location DIF, hence, 6 items were consistent with the two statistics as having location DIF while the other items were not consistent. The consistent items are 15, 20, 24, 35, 42 and 46.

# **Discussion of Findings**

It was revealed in this study that both statistics (Binary Logistic Regression Statistics & Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics) detected equal number of 13 items as having gender DIF, but the difference is that 8 items were consistent with the two statistics as having gender DIF while 5 items were not consistent. The consistent items are 13, 15, 20, 24, 42, 44, 46 and 47. Hence, both statistics contributed equally in the detection of DIF with respect to gender in 2015 WAEC test, indicating that they have equal potency in the detection of gender DIF. Although the statistics are used to detect DIF in tests, Binary logistic regression is seen to consider the total test scores of the examinees while Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics do not consider this. Also to be noted is that Binary logistic regression can help to detect both uniform and non-uniform DIF while Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics can only be used to detect uniform DIF. This finding is in line with the findings of Pedrajita (2015) who revealed the presence of items indicating gender-based DIF, and thus concluded that there was a high degree of correspondence between the Logistic Regression and the Mantel-Haenszel Statistic in identifying potentially biased test items.

Further result of this study shows that Binary Logistic Regression Statistics detected 9 items while Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics detected 8 items as having location DIF, hence, 6 items were consistent with the two statistics as having location DIF while the other items were not consistent. The consistent items are 15, 20, 24, 35, 42 and 46. This finding shows that Binary Logistic Regression Statistics has a higher potency in detecting location DIF than Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics. This present finding is similar to Salubayba (2013) finding who revealed that Mantel-Haenszel (MH) detected fewer DIF items that resulted to more DIF-free items. On the hand, IRT-1PL detected more DIF items that produced brief test instrument. In line with the above finding, Ibrahim (2017) results showed that a non-significant relationship existed between the proportions of test items that functioned differentially in the dichotomous test items when the different methods were used. The study concluded that GMH, SIBTEST and LDFA were effective in detecting DIF across dichotomous test items but complement each other in their ability to detect DIF in dichotomous test items. The use of contingent related approaches could be linked to the result of this finding.

### Conclusion

The study ascertained the potency of two statistical approaches (Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square) in detection of differential item functioning of standardized Economics test in Nigeria. Based on the findings accruing from this study, it was concluded that Binary Logistic Regression and Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square statistics have equal potency in the detection of gender DIF with 13 items; but 8 items were consistent with the two statistics as having gender DIF while 5 items were not consistent. It was also concluded that Binary Logistic Regression Statistics has a higher potency in detecting location DIF with 9 items than Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics that detected 8 items; but 6 items were consistent with the two statistics as having location DIF while the other items were not consistent.

#### Recommendations

The following recommendations are made based on the findings and discussions of the study;

- 1. Examining bodies, Evaluators, and educational practitioners involved in the development of assessment Economics instruments should use Binary Logistic Regression Statistics or Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square Statistics for data analysis in detecting gender DIF items.
- 2. When detecting location DIF, the use of Binary Logistic Regression Statistics should be encouraged since it detected more DIF in this regard.

# References

- Ajeigbe, T. O. & Afolabi, E. R. I. (2014). Assessing unidimensionality and differential item functioning in qualifying examination for Senior Secondary School Students, Osun State, Nigeria. World Journal of Education, 4(4), 30-37.
- Amaechi, C. E. (2018). Effects of scaffolding and guided inquiry instructional strategies on students' academic achievement and attitude towards Economics in Imo State. An Unpublished M.Ed. Dissertation, Imo State University, Owerri.
- Amaechi, C. E. (2019). Detection of differential item functioning in economics multiple choice standardized test in Nigeria. An Unpublished Ph.D Dissertation, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike
- Amajuoyi, I. J. (2015). Verification of differential item functioning (DIF) status of West African Senior School Certificate Examination (WASSCE) in Chemistry. Journal of Educational Foundations, 5(1), 165-175.
- Bland, J. (2013). About gender: differences. http://www.gender.org.uk/about/oo diffs.htm.
- Camilli, G. (2006). Test fairness. In R. L. Brennan (Ed.), 4Ih ed. (pp. 220-256). Westport, CT: American Council on Education.
- Chang, Y. (2013). Gender Differences in Science Achievement, Science Self Concept and Science Values. [On line] available @Yuan Christian University @tea.ntue.edutw: Pp1-6.
- Ibrahim, A. (2017). Empirical comparison of three methods for detecting differential item functioning in dichotomous test items. Journal of Teaching and Teacher Education, 5(1), 1-18.
- Juweto, G. A. (2015). Effects of jigsaw co-operative teaching/learning strategy and school location on students' achievement and attitude towards Biology in Secondary School in Delta State. International Journal of Education and Research, 3(8), 31-40.

- Kpolovie, P. I, Joe, A. I. & Okoto, T. (2014). Achievement prediction: Role of interest towards school. International Journal of sciences and Education, 1(1), 22-29.
- Moyo, S. E. & Nenty, H. J. (2017). Dimensionality analysis of students' performance in 2013 BGCSE agricultural examination: Implications for differential item functioning. Research Journal of Educational Sciences, 5(1),1-10.
- Onah, F. E., Amaechi, C. E., & Nosike, M. C. (2017). Safety-health practices as correlate of Economics students' learning outcome in secondary schools in Imo State. The Educational Psychologist, 11(1), 211-218.
- Osterlind, S. J., & Everson, H. T. (2009). Differential item functioning. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publishing.
- Osuafor, A. & Okonkwo, I. (2013). Influence of family background on academic achievement of secondary schools Economics students in Anambra State. International Multidisciplinary Journal, 7(3), 156-169.
- Pedrajita, J. Q. (2015). Using contingency table approaches in differential item functioning analysis: A comparison. Education Journal, 4(4), 139-148.
- Salubayba, T. M. (2013). Differential item functioning detection in reading comprehension test using Mantel-Haenszel, item response theory, and logical data analysis. The International Journal of Social Sciences, 14(1), 76-82.
- Walker, C. (2011). What's differential item functioning? Why differential item functioning analyses are an important part of instrument development. Journal of Psycho-Educational Assessment, 29(1), 364-376.
- Zumbo, D. B. (2012). A handbook on the theory and methods of differential item functioning (DIF): logistic regression modeling as a unitary framework for binary and likert-type item scores. Retrieved on 06/06/2012 from:
  - http://edu.ubc.ca/faculty/zumbo/dif/handbook.pdf.